

Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, Inc.

Type of Engagement: Annual Review

Date: 29 June 2018

Engagement Leaders:

Ankita Shukla, ankita.shukla@sustainalytics.com, (+1) 617 603 3329

Wakako Mizuta, wakako.mizuta@sustainalytics.com, (+81) 3 4510 7979

Introduction

In October 2017, Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group (SMFG) issued a green bond aimed at financing and refinancing expenditures related to renewable energy, energy efficiency, green buildings, clean transportation, and pollution prevention and control (the “Green Bond”). In June 2018, SMFG engaged Sustainalytics to review the projects funded through the Green Bond (the “Nominated Projects”) and provide an assessment as to whether the Nominated Projects met the Eligibility Criteria of the Eligible Project Categories and Use of Proceeds criteria and the Reporting commitments outlined in the SMFG Green Bond Framework 2017 (the “Green Bond Framework”).

Evaluation Criteria

Sustainalytics evaluated the projects and assets funded in 2017 based on whether the projects and programmes:

1. Met the Use of Proceeds and Eligibility Criteria outlined in the Green Bond Framework; and
2. Reported on the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for each Use of Proceeds criteria outlined in the Green Bond Framework.

Table 1 lists the Use of Proceeds and Eligibility Criteria, while Table 2 list the associated KPIs.

Table 1: Use of Proceeds and Eligibility Criteria

SMFG is committed to allocate green bond proceeds to finance, in whole (or in part), existing and future qualifying environment-related projects (“Eligible Green Projects”). Eligible Green Projects may include projects in one or more of the categories in the table below.

Use of Proceeds	Eligibility Criteria
(A) Renewable Energy	The development, construction and operation of facilities that generate or transmit renewable energy, including: solar energy, wind energy, geothermal energy, biomass energy and small runof-river hydro facilities with generation capacity of 25 megawatts or less.
(B) Energy Efficiency	Energy-conservation equipment, including, but not limited to, LED lighting, efficient HVAC (heating, ventilating, air conditioning), building insulation and energy demand control systems which improve energy efficiency. Projects that meet category (C) below are excluded from category (B) in order to avoid double counting.
(C) Green Buildings	New building construction or existing building retrofits which meet regional, national or internationally recognized third-party verified green building certifications, such as “LEED” (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design), “BREEAM” (Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Methodology) or “CASBEE” (Comprehensive Assessment System for Built Environment Efficiency) to a level of at least “LEED Silver”, at least “BREEAM Very Good” or at least “CASBEE A Level”.
(D) Clean Transportation	Expenditure that supports the shift to clean energy vehicles (including electric vehicles and supporting infrastructure) and the development, operation and upgrade of public

	transportation facilities (including improvement to rail transport, bicycles and other non-motorised transport).
(E) Pollution Prevention & Control	Projects related to waste recycling and waste to energy.

Table 2: Key Performance Indicators

Key performance indicators	
Impact Reporting	<p>SMFG will annually publish on a dedicated website information and assessments relating to the environmental impacts of financed Eligible Green Projects outlined in the Use of Proceeds. The environmental impacts of financed Eligible Green Projects, where reported, may be calculated on a collective basis. SMFG is committed to reporting on the following metrics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tons of CO2 equivalent reduced • Metrics chosen upon category of financed Eligible Green Projects, such as tons of waste treated and recycled

Issuing Entity's Responsibility

SMFG is responsible for providing accurate information and documentation relating to the details of the projects that have been funded, including description of the projects, estimated and realized costs of the projects, and project impact.

Independence and Quality Control

Sustainalytics, a leading provider of ESG and corporate governance research and ratings to investors, conducted the verification of SMFG's Green Bond Use of Proceeds. The work undertaken as part of this engagement included collection of documentation from SMFG employees and review of documentation to confirm the conformance with the Green Bond Framework.

Sustainalytics has relied on the information and the facts presented by SMFG with respect to the Nominated Projects. Sustainalytics is not responsible nor shall it be held liable if any of the opinions, findings, or conclusions it has set forth herein are not correct due to incorrect or incomplete data provided by SMFG.

Sustainalytics made all efforts to ensure the highest quality and rigor during its assessment process and enlisted its Sustainability Bonds Review Committee to provide oversight over the assessment of the review.

Conclusion

Based on the limited assurance procedures conducted¹, nothing has come to Sustainalytics' attention that causes us to believe that, in all material respects, the Nominated Projects, funded through proceeds of SMFG's Green Bond, are not in conformance with the Use of Proceeds and Reporting Criteria outlined in the Green Bond Framework. SMFG has disclosed to Sustainalytics that the proceeds of the green bond were fully allocated as of 30 March 2018.

¹ Sustainalytics limited assurance process includes reviewing the documentation relating to the details of the projects that have been funded, including description of projects, estimated and realized costs of projects, and project impact, which were provided by the Issuer. The Issuer is responsible for providing accurate information. Sustainalytics has not conducted on-site visits to projects.

Detailed Findings

Table 3: Detailed Findings

Eligibility Criteria	Procedure Performed	Factual Findings	Error or Exceptions Identified
Use of Proceeds Criteria	Verification of the projects funded by the Green Bond in 2017 to determine if projects aligned with the Eligibility Criteria outlined in the Green Bond Framework and above in Table 1.	All projects reviewed complied with the Eligibility Criteria.	None
Reporting Criteria	Verification of the projects funded by the Green Bond in 2017 to determine if impact of projects was reported in line with the KPIs outlined in the Green Bond Framework and above in Table 2. For a list of KPIs reported please refer to Appendix 2.	All projects reviewed reported on relevant KPIs per Reporting criteria.	None

Appendix 1: List of Project Categories Reviewed

#	Area of Project Category	Project Category	Number of Projects	Equator Principles Category	Agreement Date	All projects in category meet Use of Proceeds Criteria
1	Renewable Energy	Solar Energy	4	Category B: 3 projects Category C: 1 project	Within last 24 months: 4 projects	Yes
2	Renewable Energy	Wind Energy	6	Category B: 5 projects Category C: 1 project	Within last 24 months: 5 projects After the issuance: 1 project	Yes

Appendix 2: List of Project Impact ²

#	Area of Project Category	Project Category	Number of Projects	Green Impact [total CO2 emissions Reduced (t-CO2/y)]	Green impact SMBC share (t-CO2/y) ³	Capacity (MW)	KPIs for all projects in category meet Reporting Criteria
1	Renewable Energy	Solar Energy	4	1,091,677	166,593	899.80	Yes
2	Renewable Energy	Wind Energy	6	3,092,065	272,235	2226.30	Yes

² Estimated Annual Green Impact (CO2 emissions reduced) is calculated by the Japan Research Institute, using Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation (SMBC) inputs and data from the International Energy Agency (IEA) and the Agency for Natural Resources and Energy.

³ The amount is calculated based on relevant financing proportion by SMBC to each project.

Disclaimer

© Sustainalytics 2018. All rights reserved. No part of this second party opinion (the “Opinion”) may be reproduced, transmitted or published in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of Sustainalytics.

The Opinion was drawn up with the aim to explain why the analyzed bond is considered sustainable and responsible. Consequently, this Opinion is for information purposes only and Sustainalytics will not accept any form of liability for the substance of the opinion and/or any liability for damage arising from the use of this Opinion and/or the information provided in it.

As the Opinion is based on information made available by the client, Sustainalytics does not warrant that the information presented in this Opinion is complete, accurate or up to date.

Nothing contained in this Opinion shall be construed as to make a representation or warranty, express or implied, regarding the advisability to invest in or include companies in investable universes and/or portfolios. Furthermore, this Opinion shall in no event be interpreted and construed as an assessment of the economic performance and credit worthiness of the bond, nor to have focused on the effective allocation of the funds’ use of proceeds.

The client is fully responsible for certifying and ensuring its commitments` compliance, implementation and monitoring.

Sustainalytics

Sustainalytics is a leading independent ESG and corporate governance research, ratings and analytics firm that support investors around the world with the development and implementation of responsible investment strategies. With 13 offices globally, the firm partners with institutional investors who integrate ESG information and assessments into their investment processes. Spanning 30 countries, the world's leading issuers, from multinational corporations to financial institutions to governments, turn to Sustainalytics for second-party opinions on green and sustainable bond frameworks. Sustainalytics has been certified by the Climate Bonds Standard Board as a verifier organization, and supports various stakeholders in the development and verification of their frameworks. Global Capital named Sustainalytics the "Most Impressive Second Party Opinion Provider in 2017". In 2018, the firm was recognized as the "Largest External Reviewer" by the Climate Bonds Initiative as well as Environmental Finance. In addition, Sustainalytics received a Special Mention Sustainable Finance Award in 2018 from The Research Institute for Environmental Finance Japan for its contribution to the growth of the Japanese Green Bond Market.

For more information, visit www.sustainalytics.com

Or contact us info@sustainalytics.com

